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Abstract

Objective. Otitis media (OM) in children is the most frequent reason for physician visits in developed countries and burdens caregivers, society, and the child. Our objective was to describe the impact of OM severity on parent/caregiver quality of life (QoL).

Study Design. Multi-institutional prospective cross-sectional study.

Setting. Otolaryngology, family, and pediatric practices.

Subjects and Methods. Children 6 to 24 months old with and without a primary diagnosis of recurrent OM and their caregivers. Physicians provided patient history, and parents/caregivers completed a Family Information Form, the PedsQL Family Impact survey, the Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) survey, and the OM 6-item severity survey (OM-6).

Results. A total of 2413 subjects were enrolled and data from 1208 patients and physician were analyzed. The average child age was 16 months, and 54% were male. The mean OM-6 score was 3.2. The mean PedsQL Family Impact score for parents was 66.9 from otolaryngology sites and 78.8 from pediatrics/family practice sites ($\text{P} < .001$). Higher (worse) OM-6 scores correlated significantly with worse PedsQL Family Impact scores (Pearson $r = –0.512$, $\text{P} < .01$).

Similarly, increasing OM-6 scores strongly correlated with increased parental anxiety, depression, and fatigue, as well as decreased satisfaction (all $\text{P} < .01$).

Conclusions. Worse PedsQL Family Impact and PROMIS scores were highly correlated with elevated OM-6 scores, suggesting that severity of childhood OM significantly affects parent/caregiver QoL. Understanding the impact of a child’s illness on parent/caregiver QoL can help physicians counsel patients and families and provide better family-centered, compassionate care.
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Otitis media (OM) is the most frequent reason for physician visits, antibiotic use, and surgery for children in developed countries. Up to 40% of children will experience recurrent OM (defined as $\geq 3$ distinct episodes in 6 months or $\geq 4$ episodes in 12 months).1 Most children have at least 1 episode of acute OM by age 3 years, with the incidence peaking between 6 and 11 months of age.2 Since children with OM often have sleep disturbances, loss of appetite, and earache, as well as psychosocial and behavioral problems, parents/caregivers (“caregivers”) may also have decreased quality of life (QoL). When caring for a child with OM, caregivers may miss work, pay extra childcare expenses, and experience increased stress and anxiety at home and work.3 Research suggests that not only does recurrent OM negatively affect caregiver QoL, but it also negatively affects a caregiver’s perception of his or her child’s QoL in relation to the disease.1 Thus, it is likely that there is a compound effect on health care costs and utilization driven not only by the child’s OM but also the distress that caregivers experience related to their child’s illness.

Our study explored the impact that children with OM, aged 6 to 24 months, has on the family and caregivers. Using a series of validated surveys distributed to caregivers of children with OM, we explored the impact and predictability of OM severity on caregiver QoL. We hypothesized that the greater the severity of OM in children, the poorer
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the QoL of their caregivers. In addition, we explored the possibility that caregiver perception of the severity of illness in a child may drive parents to seek out specialists and potentially different treatment options, such as tympanostomy tube placement.

**Methods**

**Study Design and Participants**

This was a multi-institutional prospective cross-sectional study conducted at 23 participating sites, including family practice, pediatrics, and otolaryngology (Peds Oto) clinics. The sites were recruited through the BEST-ENT (Building Evidence for Successful Treatments in Otolaryngology) and CHEER (Creating Healthcare Excellence through Education and Research) research networks. Participating sites recruited interested caregivers of the eligible patients at the time they were seen between January 2009 and February 2012. Inclusion criteria at the participating otolaryngology site were children aged 6 to 24 months who presented with a chief complaint of OM. The lone inclusion criterion at a participating family practice or pediatric site (PCP) was children aged 6 to 24 months who presented for routine well-child visits and immunization, regardless of history of OM. The patients recruited from pediatrics and family practice sites came to the clinic for well-child visits and served as normal well-child controls. We oversampled for patients presenting to an otolaryngology practice, with the intent of obtaining as many children from the most severely affected end of the spectrum of OM severity as from the less affected end of the spectrum. Exclusion criteria were (1) children younger than 6 months or older than 24 months, (2) caregivers who were unable to provide consent, and (3) caregivers who were unable to complete the survey forms in English.

Caregivers who chose to participate were given a packet of surveys to complete either during their visit or later with a mail-in option. We obtained an institutional review board waiver of written informed consent for caregivers from the Washington University Medical Center Human Research Protection Office, and we obtained written informed consent from participating physicians.

**Background Data**

Demographics and an overall health and OM history form were provided by the caregiver. Collected information included family income; parental insurance status and educational level; number of adults and children in the household; age, sex, and ethnicity of the child; daycare attendance status; and parental reports on the number of OM episodes and the perceived problems resulting from these episodes. Physician-provided information included assessment of the child’s current condition, number of visits in the past 3 months with a diagnosis of ear infections or middle ear fluid, hearing level, comorbidities, number of sets of ear tubes, and candidacy for tube placement. Physicians also filled out a practice profile form once at the start of the study.

**Outcomes Assessed**

Validated surveys were used to assess QoL of children and their caregivers. The OM-6 survey is a 6-item, disease-specific questionnaire that is a valid and reliable measure of QoL of children with OM. It represents the domains of physical suffering, hearing loss, speech impairment, emotional distress, activity limitations, and caregiver concerns. Caregivers serve as proxy to answer questions regarding their perception of the child’s functional health status. Scores can range from 1 to 7. Higher OM-6 scores indicate increased severity and impact of OM on the child resulting in decreased disease-specific QoL.

The PedsQL Family Impact Module measures the impact of pediatric health conditions on caregivers and family. Domains of physical, emotional, social functioning, cognitive functioning, communication, worry, daily activities, and relationships are measured. Scores can range from 0 to 100. A lower Family Impact score correlates with poorer QoL.

The National Institutes of Health (NIH) Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) individual item response questions were selected to assess the QoL impact of a child’s health on the adult caregiver, with respect to anxiety, fatigue, depression, and satisfaction. The PROMIS tool is generalizable to all adults, whereas the PedsQL Family Impact module focuses on the QoL of parents and caregivers specifically. By using both, we aimed to understand the impact on a scale that is generalizable to a broader population. The PROMIS raw scores were converted into t scores, where higher PROMIS t scores indicated poorer reported levels of anxiety, fatigue, and depression. For the category of satisfaction, a lower t score indicated worse reported satisfaction.

**Statistical Analysis**

Scores for OM-6 were correlated to the impact on caregiver QoL (both PedsQL Family Impact and PROMIS scores). All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS version 20 (SPSS, Inc, an IBM Company, Chicago, Illinois). Bivariate analysis was performed using the independent Student t test for dichotomous predictor variables, analysis of variance (ANOVA) for categorical predictor variables (using Tukey’s honestly significant difference for post hoc testing), and Pearson’s correlation for continuous predictor variables. Multivariable analysis was performed using linear regression modeling. Statistical significance was set at a 2-tailed α level of 0.05.

**Results**

Study personnel distributed 2413 survey packets. After performing data quality checks, we excluded from analysis surveys that represented duplicate entries or that were missing a completed physician form, a completed patient survey packet, or both. Data for 1208 children were used in our analysis (Figure 1).
Demographics
The average age of participants was 14.7 months, and 54% were male. Of the patients, 83% were enrolled by otolaryngology practices, and 15% were enrolled by either family practice or pediatrics clinics. Two percent of participants were not linked to a particular site ID. Additional demographics of the study population can be found in Table 1.

Correlation of OM-6 with Parental QoL
For our entire study population, the mean (SD) OM-6 score was 3.2 (1.3). As Table 2 shows, the mean OM-6 score for patients enrolled by otolaryngologists was significantly worse than the OM-6 for those seen at primary care clinics. The overall mean (SD) PedsQL Family Impact Score in our study was 68.7 (18.9). The mean PedsQL Family Impact Score for caregivers of children seen at otolaryngology practices was significantly worse than that for caregivers of children seen by a PCP. Among the children whose clinic site could not be linked, the scores qualitatively appear to be similar to otolaryngology sites.

Worse OM-6 scores were moderately correlated with poorer PedsQL Family Impact scores (Pearson’s $r = -0.51$, $P < .01$). Similarly, more severe OM-6 scores were correlated with increased caregiver anxiety, fatigue, depression, and satisfaction, as well as decreased satisfaction. PedsQL Family Impact scores were moderately to strongly correlated with caregiver reported anxiety, fatigue, depression, and satisfaction (Pearson’s $r = -0.70, -0.73, -0.71$, and 0.53, respectively). All correlations were statistically significant ($P < .001$).

OM-6 and Caregiver QoL vs Physician Tube Recommendation
We analyzed reported severity of OM as well as caregiver QoL compared with the status of the physician’s recommendation for tube placement. There were 1167 responses to the question on the presence or absence of tubes. A total of 194 of 1167 (16.6%) patients had tubes in place. Providers recommended tube placement in 252 of 464 (54.3%) patients
aged 6 to 12 months and in 382 of 567 (67.4%) patients aged 13 to 24 months. Because primary care physicians made recommendations for tube placement in only 3 patients aged 6 to 12 months and 6 patients aged 13 to 24 months, we did not analyze them separately. As summarized in Table 3, mean OM-6 and PedsQL Family Impact scores for children in whom ear tubes were recommended were significantly worse than for children in whom tubes were not recommended and in children who already had tubes.

Multivariable analyses were performed to further confirm our findings. Simple linear regression showed that OM-6 alone explained 26% of the variance in PedsQL Family Impact scores. In the multiple linear regression model shown in Table 4, however, the caregiver PROMIS scores for anxiety, fatigue, and depression explained 65.5% of the variance in Family Impact scores, and child severity of disease, as measured by OM-6, added only 1% of variance.
Discussion

Caregiver QoL is strongly associated with the burden of OM disease in a child, as well as by a physician’s recommendation for tympanostomy tubes. Our study examined these effects while allowing for comparison between caregivers of children with OM evaluated at an otolaryngology practice and those at a family or pediatric practice.

Elevated OM-6 scores, a proxy for evaluating the impact of OM on children, correlated with poorer overall caregiver QoL, as determined by PedsQL Family Impact scores. The mean PedsQL Family Impact score for our study was worse than the reported mean in the literature of 81.0 for parents of children with chronic disease in a convalescent hospital. In addition, higher OM-6 scores were correlated with increased caregiver anxiety, depression, and fatigue, as well as decreased satisfaction. Similar to prior studies, these results imply that the QoL burden of OM, not just the presence of disease, is an important factor in caregiver QoL. Caregiver QoL was directly correlated with caregiver levels of anxiety, depression, and fatigue and inversely correlated with caregiver satisfaction.

Not surprisingly, otolaryngology practices saw patients with a significantly higher burden of OM disease as reported by caregivers, as well as significantly poorer caregiver QoL as determined by the PedsQL Family Impact scores. This suggests that otolaryngologists appropriately evaluate and treat patients who experience greater disease impact, since caregiver QoL was significantly correlated with OM-6 scores. In addition, parental anxiety, depression, and fatigue are much higher in caregivers whose children were evaluated by an otolaryngology practice. This suggests that parents with children with a more severe impact on QoL have higher levels of anxiety, depression, and fatigue and that perhaps these parents are more likely to seek referral to a specialist. However, these associations do not imply causality, that either higher burden of OM disease or higher parental anxiety, depression, or fatigue is the driving factor for seeking subspecialty care.

A physician recommendation for the placement of tubes was significantly associated with greater impact of OM on the child and poorer QoL of caregivers. The PedsQL Family Impact scores were best for caregivers of those children in whom a physician did not recommend ear tubes at all, followed by those children who already had ear tubes. They were worst for caregivers of children in whom ear tubes were recommended by a physician. PROMIS scores of anxiety, fatigue, and depression were similarly worse for caregivers of children in whom ear tubes were recommended. This shows that increased impact of OM on the child, which would lead a physician to recommend ear tubes, is associated with worse caregiver QoL. Eligibility for ear tubes may be more of a factor in driving caregiver QoL than is the actual placement of ear tubes.

The mean PROMIS t scores for parental satisfaction for parents of children in whom tubes were recommended were also statistically significantly worse than for those in whom tubes were not recommended and in children who already had tubes; however, these means were very tightly clustered and do not represent clinically important differences. The PROMIS domain of satisfaction is related to the adults’ satisfaction in their ability to continue performing daily routines and caring for their family. This suggests that while caregivers of children with a severe burden of OM can still be functional in their activities of daily living, their QoL as related to anxiety, fatigue, and depression is diminished.

Our findings suggest that parental QoL, including levels of anxiety, fatigue, and depression, are strongly influenced by caregivers’ perception of the severity of their child’s illness. Caregivers’ understanding of their child’s illness may be affected not only by objective measures of the severity of illness or child QoL but also the referral to a specialist, as well as a specialist’s recommendation for pressure equalization tubes. It is also possible that because of this poor QoL, caregivers seek referral to specialists and the placement of pressure equalization tubes, even when the recommendations for tube placement are becoming more conservative. The most recent practice guidelines for tympanostomy tube placement, released by the American Academy of Otolaryngology—Head and Neck Surgery (AAO-HNS) in July 2013, have become more restrictive in which patients are offered tubes than in previous years. Particularly, the recommendations state that clinicians should not offer tympanostomy tube placement to children with recurrent acute OM who do not have an effusion in either ear at the time of tube candidacy assessment.

One of the major limitations of our study is that it was a cross-sectional study. Because of this, we could not evaluate the changes in QoL for the individual child and family before and after placement of ear tubes. It is possible, based on clinical experience, that there is a larger difference in QoL than we observed in this study, as suggested by an earlier study; however, we cannot confirm the anticipated size of improvement in QoL with this study design. A longitudinal observational study is needed to look at the change in QoL before and after tube placement in children with OM.

The survey method and cross-sectional design of this study further limited the independent confirmation of the diagnosis of OM, number of OM episodes, and severity of the burden of disease for the children in this study. Caregiver perception of the number of episodes of OM tends to be significantly higher than the number of episodes diagnosed by physicians. Furthermore, overdiagnosis of acute OM is thought to be common, due to the difficulty with examining an uncooperative child, distinguishing between acute OM and otitis media with effusion, and varying criteria used to define OM. Nevertheless, the child’s burden of OM correlated well with caregiver QOL, and thus the results supported our initial hypothesis.

Another limitation is that we do not know if the practitioners in the study followed the AAO-HNS guidelines for recommendation of tympanostomy tube placement. Medical decision making can be influenced by parental concerns and anxiety, and it is possible that parental concerns and
perceptions of their child’s illness are stronger driving forces in the decision to place ear tubes than the actual severity of a child’s illness.

The present study gathered information from a large number of children with a broad range of impact from OM from many sites across the United States. The population was not limited to healthy children but included a number of children with medical problems. This is likely to be representative of a broad cross section of patients who present to otolaryngology offices. Well-validated adult and child surveys were used to gather data, and the outcomes correlate with expectations of the effects of OM on caregiver QoL. Understanding the impact of a child’s illness on caregiver QoL can help physicians counsel patients and families and provide better family-centered, compassionate care.
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